Diabetes Risk Assessment and Treatment

Todd T. Brown, MD, PhD Professor of Medicine and Epidemiology Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, & Metabolism Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Disclosures

 Consultant: Gilead Sciences, Merck, BMS, EMD-Serono, Theratechnologies

Learning Objectives

- Explain how diabetes differs in persons with HIV infection compared to those without HIV
- Recommend how persons with HIV infection should be assessed for diabetes risk
- Summarize important considerations for managing patients with HIV infection and diabetes

Why Care about Diabetes?

- Very common, with rapidly increasing prevalence
- One of leading causes of cardiovascular disease, blindness, ESRD, amputations, hospitalizations
- Common in HIV-infected populations
- Diabetes can be controlled, but management is complicated and requires individualization

THE STAGGERING COSTS OF DIABETES IN AMERICA





Learn how to fight this costly disease at diabetes.org/congress

Pathogenesis of Diabetes in HIV-infected Patients

- Antiretroviral Medication Factors

 Thymidine analogues, older PIs
- HIV Factors
 - Residual immune activation/inflammation
- Host Factors
 - Adiposity
 - HCV
 - Genetic factors: family history, race
 - Coadministered medications: corticosteroids/atypical antipsychotics



- 53-year-old African American male, HIV+ for 20 years, on ART since 2000
- VL<50 FTC/TDF/ EFV
- Mild/moderate lipoatrophy of face/buttocks/thighs
- Mild HTN, normal lipids, no smoking
- Strong family history of DM
- BMI 27 kg/m²

ADA Screening Guidelines in General Population

Overweight or obese adults with one or more of the following:

- Previous pre-diabetes
- 1st-degree relative with DM
- High-risk race/ethnicity
- Women with h/o GDM
- H/O CVD
- HTN
- Low HDL or high TG
- Women with polycystic ovarian syndrome
- Physical inactivity
- Other conditions associated with IR

Diabetes Screening Guidelines for HIV-infected Persons

• Who?

 IDSA: Prior to ART, within 4-6 weeks after ART initiation, every 6-12 months thereafter

How?: ADA Definitions 2017

Diabetes Mellitus

- 1. A1C ≥6.5%
- Fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL, confirmed by repeat testing
- Plasma glucose 2 hours after 75 g oral glucose tolerance test ≥200 mg/dL
- Random plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL with polyuria and polydipsia

Caveats for the Use of HgbA1c for Diagnosis

"For conditions with abnormal red cell turnover... the diagnosis of diabetes must employ glucose criteria exclusively."

ADA Clinical Practice Recommendations, 2017

HbA1c Underestimates Glycemia in HIV-infected Persons



Glucose (mg/dL)

Diabetes Screening in HIVinfected Persons

• How?

- Fasting glucose
- If 100-125 mg/dL, consider 75 g OGTT
- Avoid A1c for screening (particularly in those on ABC, low CD4, PIs, high MCV)

Case

- 53-year-old African American male, HIV+ for 20 years, on ART since 2000
- VL< 50 FTC/TDF/ EFV
- Mild/moderate lipoatrophy of face/buttocks/thighs
- Mild HTN, normal lipids, no smoking
- Strong family history of DM
- BMI 27 kg/m²
- Fasting glucose 145 mg/dL (confirmed)
- A1c 6.8%

After DM Is Diagnosed, What Should Be the Next Steps?

- Lifestyle modification
- First-line drug
- Combination therapy

Lifestyle Modifications for Prediabetes

Diabetes Prevention

Program:

- 150 minutes/week of exercise and caloric restriction
- Goal: 7% weight loss
- ↓ 58% diabetes incidence



Knowler WC, et al. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:393-403.

Effect of Cutting 500 cal/day over 8 weeks in Obese Persons

Effect on Weight



Effect on Inflammation



Hermana, Endocrine, 2009



Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association

Diabetes Care 2016;39:2065-2079 | DOI: 10.2337/dc16-1728

Sheri R. Colberg,¹ Ronald J. Sigal,² Jane E. Yardley,³ Michael C. Riddell,⁴ David W. Dunstan,⁵ Paddy C. Dempsey,⁵ Edward S. Horton,⁶ Kristin Castorino,⁷ and Deborah F. Tate⁸



Benefits of and Recommendations for Reduced Sedentary Time

Prolonged sitting should be interrupted with bouts of light activity every 30 min for blood glucose benefits, at least in adults with type 2 diabetes.

After DM Is Diagnosed, What Should Be the Next Steps?

- Lifestyle modification
- First-line drug
- Combination therapy

Metformin: THE First Line Drug



Metformin: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- ↓ A1c ~1%
- Long track record
- No hypoglycemia
- No weight gain
- ? CVD benefit
- Low cost (AWP \$86/month)

- GI side effects
- Lactic acidosis (rare)
- Contraindications:
 - CKD (OK eGFR >30 cc/min/1.73 m²)
 - Hypoxia
 - Decompensated liver disease
 - Severe CHF
 - Alcohol abuse
 - Past H/O lactic acidosis
 - 2 Worconing lipeatrophy
- Interaction with DTG

After DM Is Diagnosed, What Should Be the Next Steps?

- Lifestyle modification
- First-line drug
- Combination therapy



What Drug to Add Next?

- Sulfonylureas
- Glitazones (pioglitazone)
- Insulin
- GLP-1 analogues
- DPP-IV inhibitors
- SGLT-2 inhibitors

Incretins

Sulfonylureas: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- ↓ A1c ~1%
- Long track record
- ↓ Microvascular events
- Low cost (AWP \$74/month)

- Weight gain
- Hypoglycemia
- High failure rate

Pioglitazone: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- ↓ A1c ~1%
- No hypoglycemia
- ? CVD benefit
- \uparrow HDL, \downarrow TGs
- ↓ Liver fat
- ?↓ Inflammation
- Low failure rate
- Modest effect on lipoatrophy (~200-500 g)

- Weight gain
- Fluid retention/CHF
- Macular edema
- Osteoporosis/fracture
- Bladder cancer
- Cost (AWP \$349/month)

Insulin: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- ↓ A1c: unlimited
- ↓ Microvascular events

- Hypoglycemia
- Weight gain
- Injectable
- Cost (insulin glargine AWP \$298/month; NPH vial AWP \$165/month)

Starting Insulin in Type 2 DM

- Start with bedtime glargine, detemir, or NPH (10 units, increase by 2-3 units q 3 days until fasting is <120 mg/dl)
- Add prandial insulin (10% of basal dose before largest meal), GLP-1 analogue, or switch to 70/30 bid if not at goal
- Recommended as first line if A1c ≥10%, severe liver disease/kidney disease, hypertriglyceridemia

GLP-1 Effects in Humans: Understanding the Glucoregulatory Role of Incretins



Adapted from Flint A, et al. *J Clin Invest.* 1998;101:515-520.; Adapted from Larsson H, et al. *Acta Physiol Scand.* 1997;160:413-422.; Adapted from Nauck MA, et al. *Diabetologia.* 1996;39:1546-1553.; Adapted from Drucker DJ. *Diabetes.* 1998;47:159-169.

Incretins

GLP-1 Analogues

- Exenetide
- Liraglutide
- Exenetide LAR
- Dulaglutide
- Albiglutide
- Lixisenatide

DPP-IV Inhibitors

- Sitagliptin
- Saxagliptin
- Vildagliptin
- Linagliptin
- Alogliptin

GLP-1 Analogues: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- ↓ A1c ~1%
- No hypoglycemia
- Weight loss
- ?↓ Inflammation
- CVD benefit

- GI side effects
- ? ↑ pancreatitis
- Cost (AWP \$831/month)

Liraglutide Decreases CVD Events in High-Risk Type 2 Patients: LEADER Trial



Marso, NEJM, 2016

DPP-IV Inhibitors: Pros and Cons

<u>Pros</u>

- No hypoglycemia
- Weight neutral
- ?↓ inflammation

- ↓ A1c ~0.5%
- GI side effects
- ? pancreatitis
- Hypersensitivity reaction
- No CVD benefit
- Heart failure
- Cost (AWP \$436/month)

Sodium Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibition: The "Gliflozins"



- Insulin-independent reduction in glucose
 - dapaglifozin
 - canagliflozin
 - empagliflozin
- 0.5%-1% A1c reductions
- Weight loss (~2kg)
- Lowers BP
- No hypoglycemia
- Polyuria/dehydration
- ↑ DKA risk
- ↑ Bone fractures
- High cost (AWP \$470/month)

Empaglifozin Reduced CVD Events in DM Patients with High CVD Risk



Zinman, NEJM, 2015

What Drug to Add Next?

- Sulfonylureas
- Glitazones (pioglitazone)
- Insulin
- GLP-1 analogues
- DPP-IV inhibitors
- SGLT-2 inhibitors





What Drug to Add Next?

- Sulfonylureas
- Glitazones (pioglitazone)
- Insulin
- GLP-1 analogues
- DPP-IV inhibitors
- SGLT-2 inhibitors



ADA Recommendations 2017

"Consider empagliflozin or liraglutide in patients with established CVD to reduce the risk of mortality."

What Should Be the Glycemic Target?

HbA1c <7%

Meta-Analysis of Glycemic Control and CVD in Diabetes



10% Risk Reduction for CVD

2-fold increase risk of severe hypoglycemia with intensive control

No Benefit on CVD Mortality

Kelly, Annals of Int Med, 2009



HbA1c <7%

Individualization Is Key:

- Tighter control (A1c 6.0-6.5%): younger, healthier
- Looser control (A1c 7.5-8.0%+): older, hypoglycemia-prone, comorbidities

What Else Should I Be Doing to Prevent Complications?: Microvascular

- Retinopathy: yearly ophthalmologic exams
- Nephropathy:
 - BP control
 - Spot urine microalbumin every 6-12 months
 - ACE-I/ARB with microalbuminuria or HTN
 - Lipid control
- Neuropathy:
 - Foot exams every 6-12 months
 - Instruction in foot care
 - Podiatry if evidence of neuropathy

What Else Should I Be Doing to Prevent Complications?: Macrovascular

- Attention to <u>all</u> CV risk factors
 - A: Antiplatelet therapy
 - B: Blood pressure
 - -C: Cholesterol
 - D: Diabetes/glucose management
 - S: Smoking cessation

Steno-2 Trial (Gaede, NEJM, 2003): CV events ↓ by 50% with intensive control of all CV risk factors

Conclusions

- Regular DM screening is important
- Avoid A1c for diagnosis in HIV+ patients
- Lifestyle changes are critical - 5%-10% wt loss!
- Metformin first
- Decisions re: 2nd and 3rd drugs should be individualized
- A1c goal <7% in most, but should be individualized
- Multiprong approach to prevent complications

HIV Management Hepatitis Management THE NEW YORK COURSE

